AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Timothy Vanhumlian Siangdun Defendant	Case No. 1:15-cr-00137-JTN
	After conducting a detention hearing under the defendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Pa	art I – Findings of Fact
(1)		escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U. which the prison term is 10 years or m	S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for ore.
	an offense for which the maximum ser	ntence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum priso	n term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara	
		a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	a failure to register under The offense described in finding (1) was comor local offense.	nmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)		le presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
	A	Iternative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the d	lefendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of te Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		otion established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions arance and the safety of the community.
		Iternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant wil	
(2)		I endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ment of the Reasons for Detention
evidence 1. Defe	 a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing n 	
2. Defe	ndant may bring the issue of his continuing de	etention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part III – D	Directions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States C	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, f The defendant must be afforded a reasonable	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United rament, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the earance.
Date:	August 4, 2015	ge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge